
19/03/2008 17:31 DE : Fax berna À : 1656001571 273 8300 PAGE : 001 DE (

OFFICE OF PETITIONS



MAR 1 9 2008

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Number: 6,505,391 B1 Patent Date: 2003 Jan 14 Applicant: Philippe Berna

Patent Title: PROCESS FOR MAKING A VERSATILE CLAMPING DEVICE DESIGNED TO HOLD OBJECTS WITHOUT DAMAGING THEM, SUCH A DEVICE AND ITS USE CEIVED

Examiner/GAU: David Bryant/3726

MAR 2 4 2008

Molières-sur-Cèze, France, 2008, March 19, Wen

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

STATUS OF A MARCH 12, 2008 REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF JULY 11, 2003 PETITION

Hon. Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

A request for reconsideration of July 11, 2003 petition was filed with the Office of Petitions by facsimile with number 571-273-0025 on March 12, 2008, that is within limits set in Senior Petitions Attorney Nancy Johnson decision mailed on January 17, 2008 (deadline was March 17, 2008). This request was reiterated once with same facsimile number and twice with facsimile number 571-273-0125 from three different cities.

Could you please have petitioner informed of the reason why this request has not yet been entered in the transaction history of the patent case?

A request for reconsideration sent by facsimile with number 571-273-8300 on September 03, 2007 to the attention of Office of Petitions was not yet entered on September 18, 2007 in the transaction history of the patent case. A reiteration of this request sent by facsimile with number 571-273-0025 on September 19, 2007 was necessary to get said request entered in said history.

Submitted with deference,

Hiere POERNA

Philippe Berna, Petitioner Pro Se

Signature:

Mailing Address: Mas Liotard - Les Brousses, 30410 Molières-sur-Cèze, France; Phone Number: (33) 43 495 0470 and (33) 46 624 3518; Fax Number: (33) 46 624 3518

## CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence of one page including this one is being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (Attn. Office of Petitions, with fax number 571-273-8300) on 03/19/2008.

Printed name of person signing this certificate: Philippe Berna

Integra TOERNA

CONFIRMATION COPY

THIS IS A MAY 27, 2008 REITERATION OF THE DOCUMENT THAT WAS FACSIMILE TRANSMITTED ON APRIL 24, 2008 TO THE OFFICE OF PETITIONS, WITH CENTRAL FACSIMILE NUMBER 571-273-8300, AND NOT YET ENTERED ON MAY 27, 2008 IN CASE TRANSACTION HISTORY.

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OF TAXERECEIVED

Patent Number: 6,505,391 B1

MAY **2 7** 2008

Patent Date: Applicant:

2003 Jan 14 Philippe Berna

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Patent Title: PROCESS FOR MAKING A VERSATILE CLAMPING DEVICE DESIGNED TO HOLD OBJECTS WITHOUT DAMAGING THEM, SUCH A

DEVICE AND ITS USE.

Examiner/GAU: David Bryant/3726

Molières-sur-Cèze, France, 2008, April 24, Thu

DOCUMENTS AND COMMENTARIES FOR MARCH 15, 2008 REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Outstanding Petition filed July 11, 2003 and reentered March 15, 2008

Hon. Commissioner for Patents (ATTN: Office of Petitions) P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In previous request for reconsideration, it was referred to pages 24-25 of the pamphlet, which was in vigor in 1992, as a "General Information Concerning Patents – a brief introduction to patent matters- "published by the U.S. Department of Commerce – Patent and Trademark Office – in 1984. These pages and the cover page of this pamphlet are reproduced below. It was also referred to page L-13 of July 1989 version of U.S.C. title 35 and to page L-14 of November 1992 version of U.S.C. title 35, pages where appears article 154 of said title at the time. These pages are reproduced below too.

In same request, re United States v. Winstar Corp. et al. (95-865), 518 U.S. 839 (1996) before the Supreme Court of the United States, re Centex Corp. v. United States, 395 F.3d 1283 (Fed. Cir. 2005) and re First Heights Bank, FSB v. United States, 422 F.3d 1311 (Fed. Cir. 2005) were cited as relevant cases of US common law ("Winstar" cases). First page of these decisions will appear below.

As commentaries, it should be added to the damage section of said request for reconsideration that a condemnation by a court of the PTO for abusive reduction of a patent term in a breach of contract wrongly based on URAA would make publicity to other patentees disappointed for same reduction and would put PTO at risk of facing a class action claiming globally still heavier damages.

Respectfully submitted,

Huly Berna Philippe Berna, Petitioner Pro Se

Mailing Address: Mas Liotard - Les Brousses, 30410 Molières-sur-Cèze, France; Phone

and Fax Number: (33) 46 624 3518

2

## CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence of nine pages including this one is being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (Office of Petitions, with fax number 571-273-0025) on 05/27/2008.

Printed name of person signing this certificate:

Philippe Berna

Signature:

Hulip Berna

05/27/2008 TUE 12:02 [TX/RX NO 7904] 2002